Introduction
A detailed history and severity of trauma is invaluable. So is the importance of a detailed clinical examination. Pathological fractures can occur following minor or no trauma [1]. Here we are reporting a case of pathological fracture which was treated as a traumatic fracture of L3. The diagnosis of multiple myeloma was missed due to inadequate clinical examination and evaluation [2]. Secondly, a laminectomy was done for a vertebral body fracture with inadequate levels of fixation. Thirdly multiple myeloma patients are prone to get infection with MRSA.

Case Report
A 56-year-old man presented to the outpatient department with severe back pain and difficulty in walking. He had throbbing type of pain day in and out and at rest. He gave a history of lumbar spine surgery 70 days back following a trivial road traffic accident. He underwent laminectomy L3 and stabilization of L2 and L4 with pedicle screws, in a different hospital. 70 days after the index surgery, the patient presented with severe back pain throughout the day and night. Upon, evaluation he was found to have an abscess at the operation site due to an MRSA infection. On further evaluation, he was diagnosed with multiple myeloma. Six weeks after the abscess drainage and implant removal he underwent re-instrumentation, two levels above and below the fractured vertebrae (L3). He underwent chemotherapy for multiple myeloma. Eight months after the second surgery, the patient presented with surgical site infection, abscess, and implant loosenings. The Abscess was drained, and the implants were removed. Later, the patient developed hyponatremia. Later he was taken to another hospital and died 5 days later.

Discussion
Pathological fractures occur with or without trivial problems. A detailed history and clinical examination are very helpful in arriving at a correct diagnosis and treatment [3, 4]. This patient would not have been misdiagnosed if a detailed history and clinical examination had been performed.
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have undergone any surgery if he had been diagnosed with multiple myeloma at the first instance after the trivial trauma. A Laminectomy of L3 and short segment fixation (L2 to L4) could have been avoided for a vertebral body fracture. This procedure could increase the instability [5]. Patients with malignancy are immune compromised and stand a high chance of infection [6, 7]. Patients with multiple myeloma are prone to get infected with MRSA, like the present case. The bones are severely porotic in multiple myeloma due to the excessive bone resorption [8, 9]. It is difficult to get good purchase of the screws in these patients. In essential cases where surgery is an absolute must, multi-level fixation may be considered along with medical treatment for myeloma, to avoid implant failure [10].

About the post-operative spine infection with MRSA in multiple myeloma patients the immunity of this case is very poor. In a recent study by Blimark et al and Holmberg et al on multiple myeloma patients, the risk of development of bacterial infection is sevenfold times increased and that of viral infection is increased tenfold times when compared to the normal population [11]. The advanced stage of multiple myeloma and severe anemia are the two main factors that increase the chance of infection in multiple myeloma. In our case twice the instrumentation was removed, and the organism MRSA is well known for forming biofilm and also resistance to different antibiotics. Biofilm formation starts with a foreign body reaction in response to the implants [12]. A new zone is formed which is an area of immune suppression. This is formed due to an inflammatory reaction leading to the formation of granulation tissue and encapsulation of the implant. Then the MRSA can proliferate on the surface of the implant. When the critical density of bacteria is attained, then begins the release of extracellular signaling factors which triggers biofilm formation. In our case twice the instrumentation was removed the first one was in a short duration of time around 70 days and the second time was 8 months duration was
there. 80% of the body weight is transferred through the vertebral bodies, so in cases where the posterior elements are removed, an attempt should be made to recreate the anterior column. Also, in cases where there is pyogenic infection with loosening of implants, it is a definite indication to remove the implant and do the debridement.

The postoperative spinal infection leads to complications like chronic pain, pseudo arthrosis, repeated surgeries, adverse neurological sequelae, increased hospital expenses, and even death [13, 14]. Instrumented fusion surgeries increase the chance of infection when compared to decompression-alone surgeries [15, 16]. Factors like a prolonged hospital stay, diabetes, obesity, Foley catheter in situ, smoking, malnutrition, and antibiotic resistance increase the chances of surgical site infection of the spine [17, 18].

The patient usually presents with pain at the surgical site and the onset of pain is insidious. The pain is more at the incision site and may radiate to the limbs [4]. In our case, postoperative spine infection with MRSA presents with systemic illness or septic form, but low virulence infection did not show any systemic symptoms. The blood investigation for confirming the diagnosis of postoperative surgical site infection includes ESR, CRP, and complete blood count [19]. The main source of infection is direct inoculation followed by hematogenous spread. The sensitivity of the blood test is higher for CRP followed by ESR followed by CBC.

During the treatment stages of postoperative spinal infection, serial checking of both ESR and CRP helps to monitor the response to treatment [20]. For confirming the diagnosis of postoperative spinal infection biopsy is the most sensitive test. If there is suspicion of an extra spinal primary source, then culture is also very important. Newer laboratory trust includes the detection of serum amyloid A, presepsin, and procalcitonin. Imaging done for the diagnosis starts with the basic X-ray which is useful to detect any implant failure. Reduction in disk height and end plate changes can be picked from the radiographs [21].

MRI is the best imaging modality for the diagnosis of postoperative spinal infection. Contrast MRI with gadolinium has 93% sensitivity for detecting surgical site infection even with the implant in situ [22]. New imaging modalities like gallium 67 detect the presence of postoperative spine infection earlier than technetium 99. FDG PET CT is more sensitive than MRI for detecting postoperative surgical site infection with a sensitivity of 100% [23].

The management issues of MRSA like surgical site infection can lead to problems like multiple debridement, prolonged hospital stay, long duration of antibiotic intake, and financial issues for the patient. Apart from this, the physical and mental morbidity is high for the patient during this period. The primary aim is to focus on the clinical stability of the patient. After taking samples for culture and biopsy, can start with broad-spectrum antibiotics covering both gram-positive, gram-negative, and anaerobic bacteria. During the time of surgery first need to confirm whether the infection is deep or superficial surgical site infection, then followed by complete debridement of the infected part. The need for multiple debridement, wound wash, and prolonged antibiotic usage should be explained to the patient before the procedure. Regarding the implant in situ, the latest recommendation is not to remove the implant to avoid destabilizing the spine [24], mainly in postoperative infection if less than three months after the primary surgery. During debridement, loose bone grafts should be removed and the bone graft that is adherent to the bone structure should not be removed.

In case of delayed infection according to Di Silvestre et al, there is a chance of up to 50% for infection to remain at the site [25]. Hardware can be removed if the fusion has occurred at the site but there is a risk of fracture at the fusion mass after the hardware removal. If the underlying tissues are healthy after debridement, can go for primary closure. If not, the wound should be packed and assessed again after three to four days. The usage of vacuum-assisted closure (VAC) decreases the need for repeated debridement and also facilitates secondary intention closure [24]. Like debridement the role of antibiotic is also very important and if the patient is having features of sepsis, then the empirical antibiotics should be started. Most cases with a deep surgical site infection need at least four to six weeks of IV antibiotics followed by oral antibiotics. This antibiotic is continued based on the repeated culture reports and inflammatory markers. Suppressive therapy in addition to IV antibiotics is more effective than IV antibiotics alone [25].

If the implant is retained for the long term, IV antibiotics followed by oral antibiotics are needed, whereas if the implant is removed, a shorter course of antibiotic therapy is advised.

The possibility of a pathological fracture of the spine should be suspected in all cases of trivial trauma or even if there is no trauma. In the case of a patient with multiple myeloma the chance of infection with highly virulent organisms like MRSA is high this is mainly due to the cell-mediated immunity going down.

In multiple myeloma, there is a malignant proliferation of monoclonal immunoglobulin-producing abnormal plasma cells. In the bone there will be diffuse osteoporosis and osteolytic lesions are caused by multiple myeloma [26]. The vertebral bodies are damaged by the infiltration of the M protein which is secreted by the myeloma cells, so the spine is an important weight-bearing part, and even trivial trauma or even no trauma can cause a fracture to the vertebral bodies in multiple myeloma. Chemotherapy was the main treatment for multiple myeloma. Even though recent therapies like isotope therapy, radiotherapy, bisphosphonate therapy, and palliative treatment are there, the best outcome for multiple myeloma is with chemotherapy and surgical decompression and fixation of the spine.

**Conclusion and message**

1. A thorough history and a clinical examination are a must to arrive at a correct diagnosis and treatment.
2. Laminectomy alone, with short segment fixation may aggravate the instability in a vertebral body fracture.
3. Multi-segment fixation along with chemotherapy is better in multiple myeloma patients with severe osteoporosis.
4. Patients with malignancies are immunocompromised and have a high chance of infection. Multiple myeloma patients are prone to infection with MRSA.
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